Scalable Ride-hailing using Reinforcement Learning (Team 2)

Wangwei Wu, Yucheng Chen

May 17, 2021

ORIE 6590 Final Presentation

Performance difference equality for the MDP

Lemma 1. We consider two policies π_{θ} and π_{ξ} , $\theta, \xi \in \Theta$. Their value functions satisfy

-

$$V_{ heta}(s_{1,1}) - V_{\xi}(s_{1,1}) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{ heta}} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{I_t} A_{\xi}(s_{t,i}, a_{t,i})
ight].$$

$$A_{\pi}(s_{t,i}, a_{t,i}) := \begin{cases} c(s_{t,i}, a_{t,i}) + V_{\pi}(s_{t,i+1}) - V_{\pi}(s_{t,i}), & \text{if } i \neq I_t \\ c(s_{t,i}, a_{t,i}) + \sum_{y \in S} \mathcal{P}(s_{t,i}, a_{t,i}, y) V_{\pi}(y) - V_{\pi}(s_{t,i}), & \text{if } i = I_t, \end{cases}$$

Collect Trajectories on old policy with Monte Carlo simulation

```
      Algorithm 1: The PPO algorithm

      Result: policy \pi_{\theta_j}

      1 Initialize policy function \pi_{\theta_0} and value function approximator V_{\psi_{-1}};

      2 for policy iteration j = 1, 2, ..., J do

      3
      Run policy \pi_{\theta_{j-1}} for K episodes and collect dataset (3.3).

      4
      Construct Monte-Carlo estimates of the value function V_{\theta_{j-1}} following (3.5).

      5
      Update function approximator V_{\psi} minimizing (3.6).

      6
      Estimate advantage functions \hat{A}(s_{t,i,k}, a_{t,i,k}) by (3.7).

      7
      Maximize surrogate objective function (3.4) w.r.t. \theta. Update \theta_j \leftarrow \theta

      8
      end
```

$$D_{\xi}^{(K)} := \left\{ \left(s_{t,1,k}, a_{t,1,k}, \hat{A}(s_{t,1,k}, a_{t,1,k}) \right), \cdots, \left(s_{t,I_{t,k},k}, a_{t,I_{t,k},k}, \hat{A}(s_{t,I_{t,k},k}, a_{t,I_{t,k},k}) \right) \right\}_{t=1}^{H} \right\}_{k=1}^{K}, \quad (3.3)$$

Estimate Value function

Algorithm 1: The PPO algorithm

Result: policy π_{θ_J}

- 1 Initialize policy function π_{θ_0} and value function approximator $V_{\psi_{-1}}$;
- ² for policy iteration $j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$ do
- 3 Run policy π_{θi-1} for K episodes and collect dataset (3.3).

4 Construct Monte-Carlo estimates of the value function $V_{\theta_{i-1}}$ following (3.5).

5 Update function approximator V_{ψ} minimizing (3.6).

6 Estimate advantage functions $\hat{A}(s_{t,i,k}, a_{t,i,k})$ by (3.7).

7 Maximize surrogate objective function (3.4) w.r.t. θ . Update $\theta_j \leftarrow \theta$

s end

$$\hat{V}_{t,i,k} := \sum_{j=i}^{I_{t,k}} c(s_{t,j,k}, a_{t,j,k}) + \sum_{\ell=t+1}^{H} \sum_{j=1}^{I_{\ell,k}} c(s_{\ell,j,k}, a_{\ell,j,k}),$$

Update function approximator

 Algorithm 1: The PPO algorithm

 Result: policy π_{θ_j}

 1 Initialize policy function π_{θ_0} and value function approximator $V_{\psi_{-1}}$;

 2 for policy iteration j = 1, 2, ..., J do

 3
 Run policy $\pi_{\theta_{j-1}}$ for K episodes and collect dataset (3.3).

 4
 Construct Monte-Carlo estimates of the value function $V_{\theta_{j-1}}$ following (3.5).

 5
 Update function approximator V_{ψ} minimizing (3.6).

 6
 Estimate advantage functions $\overline{A}(s_{t,i,k}, a_{t,i,k})$ by (3.7).

 7
 Maximize surrogate objective function (3.4) w.r.t. θ . Update $\theta_j \leftarrow \theta$

 8
 end

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{t=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{I_{t,k}} \|V_{\psi}(s_{t,i,k}) - \hat{V}_{t,i,k}\|^2.$$

Estimate Advantage Function



Next, we obtain the advantage function estimates

$$\hat{A}(s_{t,i,k}, a_{t,i,k}) := \begin{cases} c(s_{t,i,k}, a_{t,i,k}) + V_{\psi}(s_{t,i+1,k}) - V_{\psi}(s_{t,i,k}) & \text{if } i \neq I_{t,k}, \\ c(s_{t,i,k}, a_{t,i,k}) + V_{\psi}(s_{t+1,1,k}) - V_{\psi}(s_{t,i,k}) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
(3.7)

Maximize Surrogate Objective, Update Policy Net

Algorithm 1: The PPO algorithm Result: policy π_{θ_j} 1 Initialize policy function π_{θ_0} and value function approximator $V_{\psi_{-1}}$; 2 for policy iteration j = 1, 2, ..., J do 3 Run policy $\pi_{\theta_{j-1}}$ for K episodes and collect dataset (3.3). 4 Construct Monte-Carlo estimates of the value function $V_{\theta_{j-1}}$ following (3.5). 5 Update function approximator V_{ψ} minimizing (3.6). 6 Estimate advantage functions $\hat{A}(s_{t,i,k}, a_{t,i,k})$ by (3.7). 7 Maximize surrogate objective function (3.4) w.r.t. θ . Update $\theta_j \leftarrow \theta$ 8 end

$$\hat{L}(\theta,\xi,D_{\xi}^{(K)}) := \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Big[\sum_{t=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{I_{t,k}} \min\Big(r_{\theta,\xi}(s_{t,i,k},a_{t,i,k}) \hat{A}_{\xi}(s_{t,i,k},a_{t,i,k}), \\ \operatorname{clip}(r_{\theta,\xi}(s_{t,i,k},a_{t,i,k}), 1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \hat{A}_{\xi}(s_{t,i,k},a_{t,i,k}) \Big) \Big].$$
(3.4)

Value function approximators



- 1. Fully connected neural network with embeddings
- Input layer includes time, car state and passenger state. Time has very different scale than the other two.
- 1. Estimate the state value with Monte-Carlo method
- 1. Use Adm as optimizer and Mean squared error as the loss function to train the neural network

Policy Network



- 1. Fully connected neural network with embeddings
- 1. Input layer includes time, car state and passenger state. Time has very different scale than the other two.
- 1. Output is a distribution over entire action space
- Use Adm as optimizer and objective is specified by (3.4)

Key hyper-parameters

Key hyper-parameters	Feng et. al's implementation	Our implementation
# of episodes per training iteration	300	25
Clipping epsilon (with decay)	0.2	0.05
# of passes for value net training	10	20
# of passes for policy net training	3	3
(Initial) learning rate for policy net training	0.00005	0.00001

Numerical results





Future work

The atomic action space is linear in R², where R is the number of grids considered in the whole area.

Consider a multi-agent setting where we take each grid as an agent so that each agent can have a R-dimensional action space (way smaller than the original model)