

As discussed in class, the Pearson correlation coefficient misses non-linear relationships and is also sensitive to outliers — the Spearman correlation can sometimes find correlations that Pearson misses. It is defined as the Pearson correlation of the rank order of the data. That means it also varies from -1 (perfectly anti-correlated) to $+1$ (perfectly correlated), with 0 meaning uncorrelated.

If the data has $x = [.6, .4, .2, .1, .5]$ then the ranks are $r = [5, 3, 2, 1, 4]$. For data $y = [403, 54, 7, 2, 148]$, the ranks $s = [5, 3, 2, 1, 4]$ are the same[†], so the Spearman correlation is 1 , whereas the Pearson is less than one. Both functions are available in `scipy.stats` (as `pearsonr()` and `spearmanr()`).

Defined as the Pearson correlation for the ranks, the Spearman correlation is written

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}[r, s]}{\sigma[r]\sigma[s]}, \quad (1)$$

where $\text{Cov}[r, s] = E[(r - E[r])(s - E[s])]$ (generalizing the $\text{Var}[x] = E[(x - E[x])^2]$, with $\text{Cov}[x, x] = \text{Var}[x]$). The formula for the Spearman correlation coefficient is given at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spearman's_rank_correlation_coefficient in terms of the difference $d_i = r_i - s_i$ between ranks, in this easily calculable form:

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{6 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}. \quad (2)$$

It is straightforward to verify that (1) reduces to (2):

First note that the ranks r_i and s_i for n data points always run through the integers from 1 to n , in some orders. Thus

$$E[s] = E[r] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i i = \frac{1}{n} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+1)}{2},$$

$$E[s^2] = E[r^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(2n+1) = \frac{1}{6} (n+1)(2n+1),$$

and $\text{Var}[s] = \text{Var}[r] = E[r^2] - (E[r])^2 = \frac{1}{6} (n+1)(2n+1) - \frac{1}{4} (n+1)^2 = \frac{1}{12} (n^2 - 1).$

Next write the covariance in the form $\text{Cov}[r, s] = E[rs] - E[r]E[s]$ (generalizing $\text{Var}[x] = E[x^2] - (E[x])^2$, and derived in the same way). Then use $E[(r-s)^2] = E[r^2] - 2E[rs] + E[s^2]$ to write $E[rs] = E[r^2] - \frac{1}{2}E[(r-s)^2]$, together with $\sigma[r] = \sigma[s] = \sqrt{\text{Var}[r]}$, to give:

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}[r, s]}{\sigma[r]\sigma[s]} = \frac{E[rs] - (E[r])^2}{\text{Var}[r]} = \frac{\text{Var}[r] - \frac{1}{2}E[(r-s)^2]}{\text{Var}[r]} = 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (r_i - s_i)^2}{\frac{1}{12} (n^2 - 1)} = 1 - \frac{6 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)},$$

in agreement with (2).

[†] Actually the second was generated from the first by taking the integer part of $\exp(10x)$