Rescuing cocaine-induced prefrontal cortex hypoactivity prevents compulsive cocaine seeking
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**Introduction**

- Drug Addiction is a major public health problem.
- There is no perfect treatment for cocaine addiction.
- DMS IV defines addiction as: having both a loss of control as well continuing use despite the significant negative consequences.

**DSM V - Substance Use Disorder**

- Divided into multiple overall classes of 11 different criteria.
- Group 1- Impaired control over substance use
  - Ex. Taking more than is meant to be taken, cravings
- Group 2- Social impairment
  - Failure to fulfill major obligations
- Group 3- Risky use
  - Using the drug even when it puts you in danger
- Group 4- Pharmacological changes
  - Tolerance and withdrawal

**Addiction vs. Substance use disorder**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Addiction</th>
<th>Substance use disorder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May have cultural implications</td>
<td>This is a persistent chronic disorder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stigma associated with being an addict</td>
<td>Brain circuitry changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pathological pattern of behaviors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How cocaine works**

- Plays a central role in the reward circuit.
- Operation based chiefly on dopamine, which promotes desire, and serotonin whose effects include satiety and inhibition.
- Maintains close relations with other centers involved in the mechanisms of pleasure and with the ventral tegmental area (VTA).
- Sometimes called brain's pleasure center.
- Link in brain pathways that cause addiction and depression

**Nucleus Accumbens**

- Disruption of PFC activity in ventral, dorsal medial and lateral regions
- Regions believed to underlie inflexible behavior addicted subjects express when faced with conditioned cues
- Ventromedial PFC (BA 11, BA 25) is implicated in the disruption in inhibitory control that results in impulsivity and poor control over behavior in addicts

**The Prefrontal Cortex**

- Drug addicts have implicated disruption of PFC activity in ventral, dorsal medial and lateral regions
- Regions believed to underlie inflexible behavior addicted subjects express when faced with conditioned cues
- Ventromedial PFC (BA 11, BA 25) is implicated in the disruption in inhibitory control that results in impulsivity and poor control over behavior in addicts

**Medial Prefrontal Cortex**
Prelimbic Cortex

• Can inhibit or augment desire to perform a particular behavior.
• Inhibition increases compulsive cocaine seeking; stimulation prevented compulsive cocaine seeking
• May have role in controlling desire for seeking out cocaine.

Kalivas and Volkow, 2011 Molecular Psychiatry

Purpose/Hypothesis

• “…Chronic cocaine use induces prelimbic cortex hypoactivity, and that compromised prelimbic cortex functions in turn impairs inhibitory control over compulsive drug seeking”

Kalivas and Volkow, 2011 Molecular Psychiatry

Methods

• They used male Winsar Rats
• Model of addiction was from Pelloux et al., 2007 Pharmacology
• Mice underwent Catheter surgery and Stereotaxic surgery (for optogenetics)

Surgeries

Catheter implantation
Stereotaxic

Cocaine Self-Administration

The model was a Seek-Take model
1. Acquisition of the taking response
   1. Take lever gives reward
2. Training of the seek-take chain
   1. Seek lever → take lever out → reward → begin again.
   2. Only one lever out at a time.
   3. Random interval (RI)
3. Extended training
   1. 8 sessions only take lever max 80 infusions
   2. Within only take were more seek-take trials or RI60
4. Punishment
   1. On the seek lever press shock administered

Random Interval Description

• Three RI schedule uses R15, R30 and R60
• Press seek lever → initiate RI → all presses of seek during RI result in no retraction → after RI next seek press resulted in removal of seek lever and insertion of take lever → take lever press = Reward
• Animals progressed between the RI durations.
• 10 min. to complete cycle.
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Shock resistance

Shock sensitive (red) less than 5 rewards on final shock day
Shock resistance (green) greater than 10 rewards on final shock day.

ex Vivo electrophysiology

• Is the study of the electrical properties of biological cells and tissues.
• Involves measurements of voltage/current change across single ion channels or organs.
• Was performed outside of tissue to measure prefrontal/prefrontal activity

Resistive properties of membrane have changed. The resistant cell has more leaky channels.

Differences in Neuronal Excitability

Quantity of cocaine infusions was not responsible for firing adaptations of shock resistant rats.

Supplemental figure 5

Optogenetics

• They had their stereotaxic into the prelimbic cortex.
• They added ChR2-eYFP to at the promoter of Camk2a which is the promoter for CaMKIIa
• Or mice were given eNpHR3.0
**Why Camk2a?**

Ebert and Greenberg, 2013 Nature

Kandel et al., Principles of Neural Science

**Optogenetic activation**

Neurotrace Fluorescent Nissl Stain

**Prelimbic Cortex Stimulation**

Shock Resistant

Light and Seek

Inhibition of prelimbic cortex results in more cocaine seeking behavior

**Discussion**

- Long-term cocaine self administration reduced prelimbic cortex excitability, with a much more pronounced effect in compulsive rats.
- Prolonged cocaine use depressed prelimbic cortex excitability
- Profound prelimbic cortex hypo activity drove compulsive cocaine seeking.
- By hyper activating the neurons, leads to shock sensitive animals while inhibiting the neurons in the prelimbic cortex leads to shock resistant animals

**Conclusion**

- Decreased prelimbic excitability can lead to compulsive behavior.
- What other diseases do you expect that you would see this hypo activity.

**Discussion Questions**

- 1. How does cocaine affect the brain initially, and what brain regions are most affected?
- 2. Why did the authors chose the prelimbic cortex as the region of interest, and what are the main roles of the prelimbic cortex? What brain areas in humans did they say it might be similar to?
- 3. What promoter region did the authors use for their optogenetic experiments, and why was this promoter region chosen?
- 4. What is the difference between ChR2 and eNpHR3.0? Why did the authors choose these two?