

PEER REVIEW SHEET for JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

Name of presenter(s)		
Paper topic		
Use the following scale to rate the presenter(s) 1 = needs work, 2 = good, 3 = excellent		
Preparation	Speaker consults with faculty or TA to review choice of topic in advance, organization, background etc.	
Paper Selection	Relevant &/or recent (last 5 years) or important	
	At the appropriate level of difficulty for participants	
	A legitimate resource	
Delivery	Speaker introduces self, using both first and last names.	
	Speaker is confident. Smiles.	
	Speaker's delivery is at appropriate pace	
	Speaker is within time limits	
	Speaker uses voice of proper volume	
	If more than one speaker, good division of labor, all presenters contribute equally	
	Speaker is positive about topic even if critical (i.e. this paper is good because it point out a difficulty of this type of study)	
Authors and Journal	Speaker correctly introduces authors and the journal. Points out PI. Discusses impact factor.	
Clarity and Organization	Speaker defines scope of talk at outset	
	Research problem/hypothesis are clearly stated	
	Data collected and/or expected are clearly explained	
	Figures and graphs are explained clearly	
	Reasons given when parts of paper are omitted.	
	Large data figures divided into smaller size graphs	
Quality of images	Clear legible graphics good use of visuals	
	Appropriate use of images/figures/text	
	Clear link between presented images and oral presentation	
Response to questions	Composure and attitude when interacting with audience	
	Accurate/direct and clearly expressed responses	
	Effective defense of scientific merit	
Overall impression	Motivation for research subject	
	Good grasp of the paper	
	Overall quality of preparation	
Your take home message from the presentation.		
Advice to presenter(s)?		
Additional comments:		